

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/879,442	Applicant(s) DUBOIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Andrew D. Kosar	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(2) Cynthia Soroos.

Status of Application: After response to non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 May 2008

Time: 16:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential 112 1st, claim objections

Claims discussed:

all, in general

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed amendments that would place claims in condition for allowance. Claim objections, e.g. sequence identifiers missing in the claims, changing plural (dolstatins) to singular (a dolstatin) would be done by examiner's amendment. 'analog' was removed from the claims to avoid 112 1st rejection being set forth. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

